

P132 (RA5077)

Normothermic versus hypothermic ex vivo flush. A comparison of a novel phosphate-free preservation solution and a standard hypothermic method in renal allografts.

MD Kay¹, SA Hosgood¹, SJF Harper¹, D Rees² and ML Nicholson¹, ¹University of Leicester, Department of Cardiovascular Sciences - Transplant Group, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, LE5 4PW, United Kingdom and ²Res-Del International Ltd, 26 Eccleston Square, London, SW1V 1NS, United Kingdom

Objective

The detrimental effect of hypothermic conditions in conjunction with warm ischaemia is well documented in non-heart beating donor kidneys; the aim of this study was to assess renal function after an ex vivo warm flush with a novel non-phosphate buffered preservation solution (RS-I).

Method

Porcine kidneys were flushed with either AQIX[®] RS-I at 30°C or a hyperosmolar citrate preservation solution at 4°C at a pressure of 100mmHg after 5 -10 minutes warm ischaemic time, followed by cold storage for 2 hours (N = 4 per group). An assessment of renal function was made by perfusing the organs with autologous blood at 37°C, with an initial circulating serum creatinine concentration of 1000µmol/L on an isolated organ perfusion system. Physiological and biochemical parameters were measured throughout the 6 hour perfusion period.

Results

The AQIX[®] RS-I group flushed significantly faster than the hyperosmolar citrate group, 8 ± 1.15 vs 12.6 ± 2.5min respectively (p= 0.0159). Creatinine clearance, renal blood flow and resistance were all significantly better in the AQIX[®] RS-I group over 6 hours. Oxygen consumption, urine output and acid base balance were all improved in the AQIX[®] RS-I group. The RS-I flushed kidneys also gained less weight after the perfusion period 8.7 ± 8.9 vs 21.8 ± 8.5g respectively. All values are mean ± SD.

Functional Parameters	AQIX [®] RS-I 30°C	Hyperosmolar citrate 4°C	P Value
% creatinine fall	83 ± 12.1	72.4 ± 12.8	0.41
CrCl ml/min/100g	4.14 ± 2.2	1.9 ± 0.9	0.037
O ₂ consumption ml/min/g	50 ± 14.5	30.8 ± 6.9	0.0635
RBF ml/min/100g	67.4 ± 17.9	43.4 ± 9.6	0.017
RVR mmHg/ml/min	0.57 ± 0.28	0.76 ± 0.5	0.017
Bicarbonate mmol/l	21.5 ± 8.8	14.2 ± 3.1	0.285

Conclusion

The potential beneficial effects of normothermic preservation have been previously highlighted. However, there is little evidence of the use of an initial normothermic flush. In this study a normothermic flush using AQIX[®] RS-I, a non-phosphate buffered solution was superior to a conventional cold flush. It is hoped that this will broaden the applicability of warm preservation.